



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,194	11/09/2001	Haruyama Shinichi	678-756 (P9786)	6677
28249 7	590 03/21/2005		EXAM	INER
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP			JAMAL, ALEXANDER	
333 EARLE OVINGTO UNIONDALE, NY 1	· · · ·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
00	,		2643	

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/037,194	SHINICHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alexander Jamal	2643			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11-9	<u>-2001</u> .				
	s action is non-final.				
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12-29-03,4-21-04. 		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/037,194 Page 2

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1,2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Taenzer (5905473).

As per claim 1, Taenzer discloses a plurality of antennas with each antenna coupled to phase control means (Col 3 lines 40-64, Col 5 lines 25-60, Figs. 8a-8g). The antennas are dipole antennas (Col 2 lines 47-59).

As per claim 2, the phase control means will adjust the power distribution ratio by varying the phases (and as such, the amplitudes) of each respective antenna signal.

3. Claims 3-5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tran (6184833).

As per claims 3,4, Tran discloses a portable phone (Fig. 14a,14b) with a dual strip antenna (dipole antenna pattern) arranged on a PCB surface (Col 6 line 61 to Col 7 line 5) opposite to a mounted speaker in the device (Col 5 lines 45-55) (Col 10 lines 5-20).

Art Unit: 2643

As per claim 5, the antenna (and it's dielectric) form a multi-layered structure to be mounted of the PCB (Fig. 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tran (6184833), and further in view of Taenzer (5905473).

As per claim 6, Tran discloses a portable phone comprising a dipole antenna mounted on a PCB opposite a speaker (as per claim 3-5 rejections). However, Tran does not disclose that the antenna is a set of dipole antennas that are fed the same power through phase control means.

Taenzer discloses a plurality of antennas with each antenna coupled to phase control means as per the rejection of claims 1,2. Taenzer further teaches that an array of phase controlled antennas may be used to control the direction of the radiated energy (Col 3 lines 40-62). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this application that an array of antennas with phase controlled power-feed could be used in the portable phone for the advantage of greater control of the radiated signals.

Application/Control Number: 10/037,194 Page 4

Art Unit: 2643

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Jamal whose telephone number is 703-305-3433. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis A Kuntz can be reached on 703-305-4708. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9315 for After Final communications.

AJ March 15, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600